

Swimming Critical Velocity Physiological Meaning is Affected by Testing Distances

Ricardo J. Fernandes^{1,2*}

¹Centre of Research, Education, Innovation and Intervention in Sport (CIFI2D), Faculty of Sport, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

²Porto Biomechanics Laboratory (LABIOMEPE), University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

Introduction

Swimming is a human activity that relies heavily on individual physiological capabilities. In fact, the swimming general performance equation, proposed several years ago by di Prampero [1], highlighted the energy expenditure, the propulsive efficiency and the hydrodynamic drag as its main determinants. Therefore, coaches and exercise physiologists have been proposing a number of testing protocols aiming to diagnose the swimmers physiological training status. However, most of these protocols are invasive, time consuming and costly (e.g. the oxygen uptake assessment and the blood lactate concentrations determination). In addition, some of these tests have some constraints, as the use of a cumbersome breathing valve for respiratory data collection (cf. [2]) and the selection of an averaged value of blood lactate concentrations as a non individualized index of endurance performance [3,4].

These (and other) limitations led to the emergence of a number of functional tests, used frequently in swimming daily practices, some of them based on continuous exertions, as the 30 min, 2000 m and maximal lactate steady state tests [5,6]. Nevertheless, these tests are hard to control and not appealing [7], leading frequently to false results. A nice alternative to those monotonous evaluations is the critical velocity test that was adapted for swimming by Wakayoshi et al. [8] based on the critical power concept [9]. Critical velocity is accepted as the theoretic maximal swimming speed that can be maintained without exhaustion for a long period of time, being conceptually related with the swimming intensity at the anaerobic threshold and, consequently, to the aerobic capacity training [9,10].

Critical velocity is expressed as the slope of a straight line established between, at least, two swimming distances and their corresponding exercise

Corresponding author: Ricardo J. Fernandes, Centre of Research, Education, Innovation and Intervention in Sport (CIFI2D), Faculty of Sport, Porto Biomechanics Laboratory (LABIOMEPE), University of Porto, Porto, Portugal, Email: ricfer@fade.up.pt

Keywords: swimming, critical velocity, performance, aerobic capacity

Received: Dec 19, 2018

Accepted: Dec 19, 2018

Published: Dec 21, 2018

Editor: Nasim Habibzadeh, Teesside university, United Kingdom.

durations, i.e., the slope of the regression line determined between the test distances and the time needed to cover them at maximum intensity. This is an easy to accomplish test that allows evaluating the maximal velocity of a swimmer in a regime of physiological aerobic balance [11], with its final value being considered as a predictive variable of aerobic performance and an optimum indicator to prescribe aerobic training [12]. However, to save time during training control routines (as coaches are reluctant to lose time with testing sessions in or in-between swimming practices), critical velocity is frequently assessed with short bouts. This does not respect the criterion of using a test distance of approximately 15 min to avoid its overestimation [13] and its consequences might be disastrous. In fact, when using (for example) maximal bouts of 100 and 400 m (that lasts around 1 and 4-5 min, respectively), both the aerobic and the anaerobic energy systems are very active, compromising the scientific validity of the critical velocity value.

So, critical velocity might be an excellent alternative to non-invasive measurements in swimmers physiological evaluation and respective training control but coaches and exercise physiologists should be careful with its determination, particularly by always including a test distance that depends greatly on energy of aerobic provenience (of, at least, 15 min). In fact, if they do not choose the most adequate testing distances/durations, significant errors might happen when analysing training and predicting performance, limiting significantly the application of information to the training process. If critical velocity is assessed respecting its methodological meaning, it could be very useful for the determination of training intensities, particularly on the moderate intensity domain (also known as aerobic capacity). Swimming below that pace will be optimal for warming up and recovering routines (low intensity domain) and swimming slightly higher the critical velocity will recruit the anaerobic metabolism in a significant way (heavy intensity domain), even though not reaching maximal oxygen uptake.

References

1. di Prampero PE, Pendergast D, Wilson DW, Rennie DW (1974). Energetics of swimming in man. *J Appl Physiol*, 37 (1): 1-5.
2. Fernandes RJ, Figueiredo P, Vilas-Boas JP (2013). About the use and conclusions extracted from a single tube snorkel used for respiratory data acquisition during swimming. *J Physiol Sci*, 63 (2): 155-157.
3. Mader A, Heck H, Hollmann W (1978). Evaluation of lactic acid anaerobic energy contribution by determination of post exercise lactic acid concentration of ear capillary blood in middle distance runners and swimmers. In: Landry F, Orban W (Eds.). *Exercise physiology*. Miami: Symposia Specialists; 187-200.
4. Fernandes R, Sousa M, Machado L, Vilas-Boas JP (2011). Step length and individual anaerobic threshold assessment in swimming. *Int J Sports Med*, 32 (12): 940-946.
5. Olbrecht J, Madsen O, Mader A, Liesen H, Hollmann W. (1985). Relationship between swimming velocity and lactic concentration during continuous and intermittent training exercises. *Int J Sports Med*, 6 (02):74-77
6. Pelarigo J, Grecco CC, Denadai BS, Fernandes RJ, Vilas-Boas JP et al. (2016). Do 5% changes around maximal lactate steady state lead to swimming biophysical modifications? *Hum Movement Sci*, 49: 258-266.
7. Thum JS, Parsons G, Whittle T, Astorino TA (2017) High-Intensity Interval Training Elicits Higher Enjoyment than Moderate Intensity Continuous Exercise. *PLoS ONE* 12(1): e0166299.
8. Wakayoshi K, Ikuta K, Yoshida T, Udo M, Moritani T et al. (1992). Determination and validity of critical velocity as an index of swimming performance in the competitive swimmer. *Eur J Appl Physiol*, 64:153-157.
9. Monod H, Scherrer J (1965). The work capacity of synergic muscular group. *Ergonomics*, 8: 329-338.
10. Wakayoshi K, Yoshida T, Udo M, Kasai T, Moritani T et al. (1992). A simple method for determining critical speed as swimming fatigue threshold in competitive swimming. *Int J Sports Med*, 13:367-371.
11. Wakayoshi K, Yoshida T, Udo M, Harada T, Moritani T et al. (1993). Does critical swimming velocity

- represent exercise intensity at maximal lactate steady state? *Eur J Sports Med*, 6: 74-77.
12. Toussaint HM, Wakayoshi K, Hollandre P, Ogita F (1998). Simulated front crawl swimming performance 303 related to critical speed and critical power. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 30: 144–151.
 13. Wright B, Smith D J (1994). A protocol for the determination of critical speed as an index of swimming endurance performance. In: M. Miyashita, Y. Mutoh, A. B. Richardson (eds.), *Medicine and Science in Aquatic Sports*, Med. Sport Science 39: 55-59. Karger, Basel.