Single Daily Activity or Exercise Capacity Measurements Did not Predict Future Changes in Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Congenital Heart Disease
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Abstract

Objective: Studies suggest that cardiovascular risk of patients with congenital heart disease (CHD) is increased. This study aims on the predictive value of a single daily activity and exercise capacity assessments on the change of body mass index (BMI) and blood pressure in the future.

Patients and Methods: We retrospectively analyzed all patients with CHD who underwent a daily activity assessment by triaxial accelerometry and accompanied cardiopulmonary exercise testing. From 276 patients 16 years or older (120 female, 28.6 ± 8.5 years) current BMI and blood pressure could be abstracted from their last outpatient visit.

Results: After a mean follow-up of 5.5 ± 1.5 years, the BMI of the patients has increased from 23.0 ± 3.4 to 23.7 ± 3.5 kg/m² (p<.001) corresponding to an annual increase of 0.14 ± 0.40 kg/m² respectively. The systolic blood pressure decreased by -0.37 ± 3.14 mmHg (p=.049).

The multivariable regression analysis corrected for confounders showed no association to annual BMI change according to baseline daily activity levels (p=.891) or peak oxygen uptake (p=.596). Only in patients with higher BMI at baseline (Beta= -.275; p<.001) and females (Beta= -.177; p=.009) increase in BMI was less. Also the blood pressure change was not associated with daily activity levels (p=.420) and peak oxygen uptake (p=.732) at baseline.

Conclusions: Single daily activity or exercise capacity measures do not predict future BMI or blood pressure changes. Regular evaluation of functional status including exercise testing, activity assessment and tailored counseling are therefore recommended in patients with CHD.
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Introduction

Due to improvements in cardiac surgery, life expectancy of patients with congenital heart disease (CHD) has increased over the past decades with almost up to 85% of the children with CHD reaching adulthood.[1,2] But living longer also means that those patients will face the burden of acquired cardiovascular diseases changing medical aftercare, which has now to focus also on cardiovascular risk factors than congenital cardiac issues alone.[3-5] Therefore, it is important to identify risk factors that predict classic cardiovascular endpoints such as myocardial infarctions, stroke or sudden cardiac death.

Several reports have clearly underpinned the beneficial effects of daily exercise on several health outcomes and it is widely accepted, that an active lifestyle of at least 30 minutes daily activity on five or even all days of the week lowers cardiovascular risk in adults.[6] But older generations of patients with CHD were raised with the notion that physical exercise has cardiac side effects. Leading, at worst case, even to sudden cardiac death. However, recent guidelines promote now physical activity and emphasize the importance of physical activity regarding cardiovascular health also for patients with CHD.[7-9]

Peak oxygen uptake is an important parameter used for evaluating the prognosis of patients with CHD and making clinical decisions.[10-13] The fact that peak oxygen uptake is associated with regular activity shows the need for participation in physical activities and leisure sports among adults with CHD.[7,8,14,15]

The aim of this study was to assess the predictive value of a single physical activity and exercise capacity assessment on the change of two major cardiovascular risk factors body-mass-index (BMI) and blood pressure in the short- and mid-term future.

Patients and Methods

Study subjects and Follow-up analysis

We included all patients with CHD who underwent a cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) and an additional assessment of their physical activity level by triaxial accelerometry from October 2007 to January 2010 as part of their routine follow-up examination in our institution. The study protocol was approved by the local ethical board (project number 1931/07). Almost all of the patient’s data regarding the association of exercise capacity and physical activity was previously published.[15]

From 276 patients 16 years or older (120 female, 28.6 ± 8.5 years) current BMI, systolic blood pressure and hypertensive therapy could be extracted from their last outpatient visit. In 29 (12.7%) patients hypertensive therapy was intensified whereas in 16 (7.0%) a reduction was noted. In the major part of the cohort (80.3%) there was no or unchanged medical treatment at follow-up

Methods performed at baseline testing

Baseline data was acquired in our institution from October 2007 to January 2010 from routine CPET testing. First, all patients performed a symptom-limited CPET on a bicycle in upright position on a rampwise protocol as previously reported.[16] Peak oxygen uptake (VO₂ peak) was defined as the highest mean uptake of any 30sec. time interval during exercise. Reference values for age, body mass, body height and gender, expressed in “% predicted” were calculated as previously described.[16]

After CPET daily physical activity was measured by the triaxial accelerometer RT3 (Stay-healthy, Monrovia, CA, USA) which represents the gold standard of daily activity measurement.[15] The accelerometer was worn on the waist for the next seven consecutive days and was only removed during swimming,
showing, and bedtime. We used vector magnitudes calculated from the three dimensions with a sampling epoch of 1 minute. Daily activity was defined as the mean activity units of these seven days. The daily minutes in moderate (3-6 MET) and vigorous activity (>6 MET) were calculated, using the published cut-off-points for moderate (>984 counts/min) and vigorous (>2,340 counts/min) activity in adults [17]. For our statistical analysis pooled data from moderate to vigorous activity representing all activity >3 MET were used.

**Data analyses**

All descriptive data was expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Change in BMI and systolic blood pressure were corrected for follow-up length by converting into an annual reduction or increase. Annual reduction rate was tested for significance using a one sample t-test versus zero.

Multivariable linear regression was used to examine a possible influence of daily activity and exercise capacity on the annual BMI or blood pressure change. Age, sex and BMI or systolic blood pressure at baseline were included as covariates into the statistic model. For blood pressure prediction analysis it was also taken into account if the hypertensive treatment changes during follow-up. After testing daily activity and exercise capacity independently with annual BMI or blood pressure change they were tested again in a combined model against each other.

For all analyses, a probability value of p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SPSS 23.0 software (IBM INC, Armonk, New York, USA).

**Results**

Patient’s baseline characteristics are displayed in table 1. After a mean follow-up of 5.5 ± 1.5 years, the BMI of the patients has increased slightly from 23.0 ± 3.4 to 23.7 ± 3.5 kg/m² corresponding to an annual increase of 0.14 ± 0.40 kg/m² (p<.001) respectively. The systolic blood pressure remained stable at 123.3 ± 13.7 to 122.2 ± 13.5 mmHg with an annual reduction rate of -0.37 ± 3.14 mmHg (p=.049).

The multivariable regression analysis corrected for age, sex and baseline BMI showed no association to BMI change per year according to daily activity levels (p=.891) and peak oxygen uptake (p=.596). That holds also true if combining both parameters in one regression model (Table 2). Splitting daily activity off into moderate and vigorous components does not provide a merit to the analysis. Patients with higher BMI at baseline (Beta= -.275; p<.001) and female sex (Beta= -.177; p=.009) has less increase in annual BMI (Table 2).

The multivariable regression analysis corrected for age, sex, baseline systolic blood pressure and changes in hypertensive treatment throughout follow-up also showed no effect on the annual systolic blood pressure change with regard to daily activity levels (p=.259) and peak oxygen uptake (p=.540) in the univariate model.

**Table 1: Patients characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female / male)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak oxygen uptake (ml/min/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak oxygen uptake (%predicted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily activity (min/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate activity (min/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vigorous Activity (min/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met daily activity Recommendations (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pooled data from moderate to vigorous activity representing all activity >3 MET
Patients with higher blood pressure at baseline were measured with lower blood pressure (Beta = -.250; p<.001) at follow-up (Table 3). Moreover, patients who had a reduction in their hypertensive treatment had an increase of resting systolic blood pressure of about one mmHg and those with an increase in hypertensive drugs a reduction of almost one mmHg (Table 3).

**Discussion**

This study could not find an association of a single measurement of daily activity or exercise capacity to short- or mid-term BMI or blood pressure change in patients with CHD.

In general there was a moderate increase in BMI in our group during follow-up, a phenomenon that is normal in that age group [18] and most prominent in slender males. But it was not possible to associate daily activity or exercise capacity from the baseline test with that increase in our investigated follow-up. Thus no conclusion could be drawn whether exercise capacity or daily activity provide better prognostic value for changes in BMI. However, the analysis suggest that more attention on these issues should be payed to males.

Systolic blood pressure remains stable throughout follow-up because hypertensive treatment was adjusted in almost 20% of the patients. But also taking the issue of medical treatment change into account, no prediction of systolic blood pressure was possible from daily activity or exercise capacity at

**Table 2: Multivariable linear regression model to predict Body Mass Index change per year in patients with congenital heart disease.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>-.034</td>
<td>.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI at Baseline (kg/m²)</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>-.275</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (0=male, 1=female)</td>
<td>-0.143</td>
<td>.054</td>
<td>-.177</td>
<td>.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak oxygen uptake (ml/min/kg)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily activity* (min/day)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pooled data from moderate to vigorous activity representing all activity >3 MET, BMI: Body Mass Index, SE=Standard error

**Table 3: Multivariable linear regression model to predict systolic blood pressure change per year in patients with congenital heart disease.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic Blood Pressure at Baseline (mmHg)</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>-.250</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (0=male, 1=female)</td>
<td>-0.758</td>
<td>.422</td>
<td>-.120</td>
<td>.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Medication (-1=reduction, 0 no change, +1 increase)</td>
<td>-0.815</td>
<td>.453</td>
<td>-.106</td>
<td>.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak oxygen uptake (ml/min/kg)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>.029</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily activity* (min/day)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>.064</td>
<td>.324</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pooled data from moderate to vigorous activity representing all activity >3 MET

BMI: Body Mass Index, SE=Standard error
baseline. Moreover, the fact that higher blood pressure at baseline was a predictor for a blood pressure decrease suggests either appropriate treatment adjustment or simply regression to the mean.

In general measures of physical fitness and physical activity have shown a robust outcome regarding survival in the general population[19-22] and exercise capacity also in patients with CHD on long term.[10-12] Both also yield significance for softer end-points in the normal population[23-26] and the development of hypertension in patients with coarctation of the aorta.[13]

In a study from Stefan and colleagues[27] in children with CHD activity restriction and exercise intolerance were the strongest predictor for risk of overweight and obesity at follow-up. Also Tikkanen et al. [28] observed an association between frequent daily activity and BMI loss. However, both studies lacked the methodological gold standard measurement for their outcome. Either self-reported physical activity was only recalled and categorized into fitness groups or exercise intolerance was just abstracted from medical records and not by means of a cardiopulmonary exercise testing. But neither dichotomization of the continuous variable daily activity into meeting the daily activity recommendation or not (data not shown) could not improve the model, nor a dichotomization of exercise capacity.

Thus, we could only speculate for the reasons in patients with CHD. We suppose that activity, exercise capacity, BMI and blood pressure are more dynamic values in patients with CHD in comparison to the healthy population. Our patients have to face multiple decisions regarding their health throughout life. That presupposes regular outpatient visits and for example decisions regarding changes in medical treatment, exercise prescription or even restriction from exercise because due to the appearance of cardiac issues, for example arrhythmia. Also scheduling for surgery followed by cardiac rehabilitation is an issue that suggest that we face more dynamic change in those examined cardiovascular risk factors during life. Epidemiologic research outline the opposite, namely constant and robust parameters for endpoint prediction, especially for peak oxygen uptake which seem to outperform physical activity for its predictive value.[19,20]

**Conclusion**

This study outlined that a single daily activity or exercise capacity measurement has no predictive value for short- or mid-term BMI or blood pressure changes in patients with CHD. With the background that the cardiovascular risk in patient with CHD seems to be less favorable than in healthy peers,[3-5] regular medical aftercare should not focus on congenital cardiac issues alone, but rather take regular cardiovascular risk factor assessment and counseling into account. A single assessment of physical activity or cardiopulmonary fitness is not enough.

**Limitations**

The study subgroup is relatively small and our patients seem to present an active cohort with a rather normal BMI and normal physical activity, which limits our statistical power. Regression to the mean seems to limit the statistical power at least in the prediction of blood pressure change.

Furthermore the short follow-up in this study limited the outcome to soft variables, that their self are only risk factor, and not the hard endpoint of survival, myocardial infarction or stroke.
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